

5-Year Sustainability Plan

	Focus on the Education Fund
x	5-Year Trends in the -100's
x	5-Year Trends in the -200's
x	5-Year Trends in the -300's-900's
x	5-Year Trends in the -Total ED Fund
x	5-Year Trends in EAV
x	FY20 %Local Obligation
x	FY20 %State Obligation
x	Model Local Capacity Percentage
x	Model State Capacity Percentage
x	5-Year Trends in SPED Costs
x	5-Year Trends in SPED Revenue

Assumptions and working theory

1. The variables in the model that relate to Salary and Benefits will move consistent with CPI, so we are chasing a moving adequacy target.
2. State and Local % Obligation in the entire model are relative to the specific analysis within the Educaiton Fund.
3. Potential EAV variables, Commercial Growth, Windmills, it is unrealistic to assume that we will realize any meaningful growth in residential property.
4. With the assumed growth in ED Fund variables and the growth of the Adequacy Target by CPI, the gap will grow, but at what rate will it grow.
5. Considerations: LCT to Necessary Tax Rate, Relative responsibility and the reasonable trends within the model, is it possible to determine where a tipping point to tier 1 may occur.